

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,494	04/12/2004	Gary Bridger	391442006300 6459	
25225 759	25225 7590 11/20/2006		EXAMINER	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			DAVIS, ZINNA NORTHINGTON	
12531 HIGH BI	LUFF DRIVE		ART UNIT	DADED NUMBER
SUITE 100			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2040			1625	
			DATE MAIL FD: 11/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/823,494	BRIDGER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
	·	Zinna Northington Davis	1625			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		·				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	•	action is non-final.				
3)□						
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	·					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-56</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 5,9-14,23-41,44-52 and 54-56 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,7,8,15,16,18,20-22,42,43 and 5</u>	<u>3</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) 3,6,17,and19 is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on April 12, 2004 is/are: a)	⊠ accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under do d.d.d. g 170(a)	(4) 5, (1).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received				
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 14) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 25 Pages Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)						
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/04;1/05</u> .	6) Other:				
S Patent and T						

PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Application/Control Number: 10/823,494 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-56 are pending.

- 2. In the response filed August 24, 2006, Applicants have elected Group I, Claims 1-22, 42, 43, and 53 without traverse. The compound of Example 19 is the preferred species.
- 3. Base upon the preferred species, *claims 1-4, 6-8, 11, 12, 15-22, 42, 43, and 53* are drawn to the elected species.
- 4. Claims 5, 9-14, 23-41, 44-52, and 54-56 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention.
- 5. Applicants are reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).
- 6. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11,12,15-22, 42, 43, and 53 are Markush claims which are generic to the elected invention. These Markush claims lack unity of invention.

 Accordingly, the Markush type claim will be examined fully with respect to the elected species and further to the extent necessary to determine patentability. See MPEP 803.02.
- 7. Claims 1-4, 6-8, 11, 12, 15-22, 42, 43, and 53 are objected on the grounds that the claims are drawn to an improper Markush group. <u>In re Harnisch</u>, 206 USPQ 300,

Application/Control Number: 10/823,494

Art Unit: 1625

states that a unity of invention exists where compounds included within a Markush group (1) share a common utility and (2) share a substantial structural feature disclosed as being essential to that utility. In the instant case, the claimed subject matter does not share a substantial structural feature disclosed as being essential to that utility.

The requirement for a proper Markush claim is that it includes only substances that in their physical, chemical and physiological characteristics are functionally equivalent. The members of the instant Markush groups possess widely different, physical and chemical properties. The compounds are not considered functionally equivalent and are so diverse that they demonstrate dissimilar and unrelated properties. The mere fact that there is structural similarity in pharmaceutical agents is not in itself reason to render all the embodiments functionally equivalent.

The improper Markush groups are A, R¹, R², R³, X, and Y.

8. The examined subject matter is as follows:

A compound of formula I where A does not form an additional ring; R^1 and R^2 do not form an additional ring; X is $(CR^3_2)_o$ - $(CR^3=CR^3)_p$ - $(CR^3_2)_q$ - NR^5_2 . The radicals not defined herein are defined according to claim 1. Amending the claims to the examined subject matter would overcome the improper Markush rejection.

- 9. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 10. Claims 1, 2, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/823,494

Art Unit: 1625

- A. At claim 1, it is suggested that the phrase "and the salts, prodrugs and stereoisomeric forms" should be amended to read as or a salt, prodrug, and stereoisomeric form--.
- B. At claim 1, what radicals are intended by the phrase "a non-interfering substituent? Clarification is appreciated.
- C. At claim 2, what are the substituents to be further substituted? Clarification is appreciated.
- D. At claim 22, the compounds refer to examples, which is improper.
- 11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 12. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 15, 16, 18, 20, 22, 42, 43, and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Brinksma et al (Reference U, cited by Examiner).

The instantly claimed compounds are disclosed. At page 76, 1st column, see compounds 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6. The compounds are depicted below:

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/823,494

Art Unit: 1625

- 13. Claims 3, 6, 17, and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 14. The Information Disclosure Statements filed September 23, 2004 and January 4, 2005 have been considered.
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zinna N. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0682.
- 16. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300 for regular communications.
- 17. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/823,494

Art Unit: 1625

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zinna Northington Davis
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1625

Page 6

Znd 11.09.2006